

Atelier/workshop n°1

- Contributions of
 - 1. FLOREA Ligia Stela, Professeur de linguistique française à l'Université de Cluj-Napoca, Roumanie, *Directions de recherche sur l'interaction*
 - 2. BOUCHARÉCHAS Manon, Doctorante, Lab. LiDiLEM, Université Grenoble Alpes, France, *L'interaction en didactique du FLE : du concept reliant aux défis méthodologiques*
 - 3. DURUS Natalia, ZIEGLER Gudrun, BLANCA Philippe, multi-LEARN Institute for Interaction and Development in Diversity asbl, Luxembourg, *Apprendre en contexte de migration : la réalisation interactionnelle du terrain commun*

Chairperson : Jean-Pascal Simon

Plan



- Interaction
 - What is the object ?
 - With wich concepts for analysis ?

The object

- Different analysed objects
 - For Ligia Stela Florea three corpus
 - A political debate
 - Tow litterary texts
 - Gudrun Ziegler, Natalia Durus et Philippe Blanca, ainsi que Manon Bouchacheras
 - Oral interaction

Diversity of objects ?

- Some questions :
 - What is interaction ?
 - Can we consider text as interaction ?
 - What is similar ? Different ?
 - ...

Scientifics concepts

- For Ligia Stela Florea three directions
 - setting of communication (participants, action, destinitary ...)
 - relation beteween participants, face (right / wrong)
 - semantic of discours, dialogism,
- issues from differents scientific area : science of language, sociology, psychology, language philosophy and so on

Scientifics concepts

- the two other contributions
 - First :
 - larger point of view
 - social dimension of language
 - "social behaviour" Labov
 - "basic form of social organisation" "processus" "co-construction" (Gülich)
 - with a (big?) step to
 - individual dimension
 - "real imaginary" and discursive identity

Scientifics concepts

- For M. Bouchachera
 - individual dimension
 - "real imaginary" and discursive indentity
 - Question :
 - In the example analysed by Ligia Florea « Michel and her Yvonne » Can we speak of discursive identity ?

Scientifics concepts

- In the contribution of Gudrun Ziegler, Natalia Durus et Philippe Blanca
 - individual dimension a few different
 - « personnal voice »
 - Linguistic repertory
 - Sociolinguistic context ?

Differences but also

- Similarity
 - I insist on differences
- Thoses contribution permit to propose thoses direction in analysis
 - interactionnal setting
 - intersubjective relation
 - subjects in interaction
 - cultural and language
 - semantic analysis